

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,559	04/19/2004	Yoshiki Katoh	4041J-000859	4409
	7590 01/11/2008 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L.		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 828			FORD, JOHN K	
BLOOMFIELL	O HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-t
	10/827,559	KATOH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John K. Ford	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	rs,
Status	1 1		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for allo	This action is non-final.	itters prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1/12 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 4/12 is/are with 5) Claim(s) 1/2 is/are allowed provisional is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are Application Papers	lly, - see officiachin)		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐		hv the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10.30/2007		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number:

10/827,559 Art Unit: 37.44

Applicant's response of September 26, 2007 has been studied carefully. The drawing changes (Replacements Sheets) are approved and accepted. Subsequent to that September 26, 2007 response applicant sent in for consideration an IDS (dated October 30, 2007) and a translation of a somewhat detailed "Notice of Reason(s) for Refusal" apparently authored by the JPO on September 18, 2007. It would have been helpful if applicant's September 26, 2007 response in the US case discussed the September 18, 2007 communication from the JPO in the corresponding JP case, however given the closeness in time of these communications the delay is entirely understandable. The problem this examiner is having is that without a copy of the

claims that the JPO was examining, it is impossible to comprehend the rejection(s) that

the JPO was making in their translated office action.

As well, it is also noted that at least two documents that the JPO relied upon have not been made of record (JP 2000-318443 and JP H05-118706). The examiner assumes, consistent with applicant's past practice, that some of the English language references made of record (now or before) may be equivalents of the JP documents (i.e. JP 2000-318443 and JP H05-118706), however applicant's IDS gives no indication of what that correspondence, if any, actually is. Notwithstanding that there may be equivalents in the file, applicant is required to provide copies of the aforementioned documents (JP 2000-318443 and JP H05-118706) as well as to identify any English language equivalent. Applicant is also required to provide at the same time a copy (in English) of the claims that the JPO was examining at the time that they prepared their September 18, 2007 rejection.

But for not being able to understand the JPO office action, for the reasons stated above, which applicant will remedy in response to this office action, the examiner believes the claims to be provisionally allowable. The allowability is provisional because the examiner does not yet have the necessary materials to fully comprehend the JPO rejection.

Page 3

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters: applicant is required to provide copies of the aforementioned documents (JP 2000-318443 and JP H05-118706) as well as to identify any English language equivalent. Applicant is also required to provide at the same time a copy (in English) of the claims that the JPO was examining at the time that they prepared their September 18, 2007 rejection.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John K. Ford whose telephone number is 571-272-4911.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9-5:30.

10/827,559

Art Unit: 3744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John K. Peril Primary Examiner